1		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
5		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010 - 284
14	KIMBERLEY ANN BARNETT, aka KIMBERLEY ANN EHLERS BARNETT	
15	1721 Mark Mead Lane Modesto, CA 95356	ACCUSATION
16	Registered Nurse License No. 411129	
17	Registered Nurse Electise No. 411129 Respondent.	
18	Respondent.	
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her	
22	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department	
23	of Consumer Affairs.	
24	License History	
25	2. On or about March 31, 1987, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
26	Nurse License Number 411129 to Kimberley Ann Barnett, also known as Kimberley Ann Ehlers	
27	Barnett ("Respondent"). The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
28	charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2011, unless renewed.	
		1

JURISDICTION |

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code"), unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 2750 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
- 6. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or
 - 7. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following: . . .
- 8. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

COST RECOVERY

9. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

10. **DRUGS**

2.2

"Lortab" is a combination drug containing Hydrocodone Bitartrate and Acetaminophen and is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).

"Norco," a combination drug containing Hydrocodone Bitartrate and Aacetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incorrect and/or Inconsistent Entries in Hospital and/or Patient Records)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in Code section 2762, subdivision (e), in that while on duty as a registered nurse in the Same Day Surgery Unit at Memorial Medical Center in Modesto, California, Respondent falsified or made grossly incorrect or grossly inconsistent entries in hospital and/or patient records, as follows:

Patient A

a. On October 25, 2005, at 10:21 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 tablets of 7.5 mg Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis¹ machine for this patient when there were no physicians' orders therefor, and 16 minutes after the patient was discharged from the surgery unit at 1005 hours. Furthermore, Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

 \parallel ///

24 1//

///

¹ Pyxis is a trade name for the automated single-unit doses medication dispensing system that records information, including the patient name, physician orders, dae and time the medication was withdrawn, and the name of the individual who withdrew the drug.

Patient B

b. On October 20, 2005, at 0648 and 1051 hours, Respondent withdrew two tables each time of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1045 hours, Respondent entered in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet the administration of two Lortab tablets. However, Respondent has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the remaining two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient C

c. On October 28, 2005, at 1536 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient D

d. On October 25, 2005, at 1445 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient five minutes after the patient was discharged. Furthermore, Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient E

e. On October 25, 2005, at 1638 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

28 | ///

Patient F

f. On October 24, 2005, at 1629 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient G

g. On October 27, 2005, at 1127 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient H

h. On October 28, 2005, at 1046 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient I

i. On October 18, 2005, at 1448 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient J

j. On October 21, 2005, at 1729 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 10/325 mg. Norco, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient while an inpatient in the surgery unit. Respondent failed to chart the administration of two Norco tablets in

the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Norco tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient K

k. On October 17, 2005, at 1550 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1615 hours, Respondent charted the administration of one Lortab tablet in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet. However, Respondent has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the remaining one Lortab tablet in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient L

1. On October 17, 2005, at 1523 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg.

Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1600 hours,

Respondent charted the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre
Op Assessment and Care sheet, but failed to document the dose or route of the drug.

Patient M

m. On October 14, 2005, at 1552 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record.

Patient N.

n. On October 20, 2005, at 1229 hours, Respondent withdrew two tablets of 7.5 mg. Lortab, a controlled substance, from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the administration of the Lortab tablets in the patient's Nursing Record Pre-Op Assessment and Care sheet and has failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the two Lortab tablets in any patient record or other hospital record. Also, at 1235 hours, Respondent charted the administration of Vicodin to this patient but failed to chart the dose and strength of the drug.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

17

21

27

28